# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended March 3, 1994
0R
( ) TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
for the transition period from to
Commission File Number 1-10658
MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Delaware 75-1618004
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification No. incorporation or organization)
2805 East Columbia Road, Boise, Idaho 83706-9698
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) (208) 368-4000
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes X No
The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's Common Stock as of March 17, 1994 was 40,547,435.

# Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Dollars in thousands)

	(Unaudited)	1993
ACCETC	(	
ASSETS		
Current assets: Cash and equivalents Liquid investments Receivables Inventories Prepaid expenses Deferred income taxes	\$ 40,770 182,412 192,881 95,591 3,939 13,930	\$ 47,523 138,290 154,686 83,164 1,493 14,920
Total current assets	529,523	440,076
Product and process technology, net Property, plant, and equipment, net Other assets	58,079 533,959 19,865	69,703 437,761 18,116
Total assets	\$1,141,426 =======	\$965,656 ======
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	,	
Current liabilities: Accounts payable and accrued expenses Deferred income Equipment purchase contracts Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 179,920 5,735 19,440 20,635	\$154,963 5,501 24,913 25,407
Total current liabilities	225,730	210,784
Long-term debt Deferred income taxes Other liabilities	42,588 45,933 24,226	54,361 46,216 14,786
Total liabilities		326,147
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity: Common stock, \$.10 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares, issued 40,515,378 and 40,099,156 shares, respectively Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings Unamortized stock compensation	4,052 365,612 434,759 (1,474)	4,010 353,277 282,468 (246)
Total shareholders' equity	802,949	639,509
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,141,426 =======	\$965,656 ======

# Consolidated Statements of Operations (Dollars in thousands, except for per share data) (Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended	
	March 3, 1994	March 4, 1993
Net sales		\$176,410
Costs and expenses: Cost of goods sold Selling, general, and	204,105	123,738
administrative Research and development	33,094 18,714	23,545 13,861
Total costs and expenses	255,913	161,144
Operating income Interest income (expense), net	134,546 1,012	15,266 (1,149)
Income before income taxes Income tax provision	135,558 48,800	14,117 5,082
Net income	\$ 86,758 ======	\$ 9,035 ======
Earnings per share: Primary Fully diluted Number of shares used in per share calculations:	\$2.09 2.07	\$0.22 0.22
Primary Fully diluted	41,518,000 41,827,000	40,352,000 40,511,000

# Consolidated Statements of Operations (Dollars in thousands, except for per share data) (Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	March 3, 1994	March 4, 1993
Net sales	\$710,597	\$307,378
Costs and expenses: Cost of goods sold Selling, general, and	370,693	221,513
administrative Research and development	67,185 33,048	39,845 25,496
Total costs and expenses	470,926	286,854
Operating income Interest income (expense), net	239,671 1,439	20,524 (2,193)
Income before income taxes Income tax provision	241,110 86,799	18,331 6,599
Net income	\$154,311 ======	\$ 11,732 ======
Earnings per share: Primary Fully diluted Number of shares used in per share calculations: Primary	\$3.73 3.70 41,371,000	\$0.29 0.29 39,944,000
Fully diluted  Cash dividends declared per share	41,715,000 \$0.05	40,184,000 \$0.05

# Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	March 3, 1994	March 4, 1993
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$154,311	\$ 11,732
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	64,707	53,494
Amortization	22,981	12,165
Increase in receivables Increase in inventories	(38,195) (12,427)	(21,536)
Increase in accounts payable and accrued	(12,421)	(7,720)
expenses	24,957	25,559
Increase (decrease) in deferred income	707	(2.052)
taxes Increase in other long-term liabilities	707 3,298	(2,952) 1,494
Other	9,008	2,506
Net cash provided by operating activities	229,34 <i>7</i> 	74,742
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of investments	(109,023)	(101,756)
Proceeds from sale and maturity of investments	64,142	42,044
Property, plant, and equipment	04, 142	42,044
expenditures	(106,745)	(19,537)
Purchase of product and process technology Other	(10,000) 629	 466
other	029	400
Net cash used for investing activities	(160,997)	(78,783)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Payments on equipment purchase contracts	(62,519)	(25, 450)
Repayments of debt Proceeds from issuance of debt	(37,457) 20,343	(20,639) 24,793
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	6,780	10,463
Payment of Dividends	(2,013)	(1,941)
Other	(237)	(232)
Net cash used for financing activities	(75,103)	(13,006)
Net decrease in cash and equivalents	(6,753)	(17,047)
Cash and equivalents at beginning of period	47,523	35,733
Cash and equivalents at end of period	\$ 40,770 ======	\$ 18,686 ======
Supplemental disclosures: Income taxes (paid) refunded	\$(100 750)	\$ 238
Interest paid	\$(109,750) (2,985)	φ 230 (3,115)
Noncash investing and financing activities:		. , - ,
Equipment acquisitions on contracts payable		25 626
and capital leases	57,045	25,639

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (All tabular dollar amounts are stated in thousands)

#### 1. Unaudited Interim Financial Statements

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position of Micron Technology, Inc., and subsidiaries (the "company"), and their consolidated results of operations and cash flows.

This report on Form 10-Q for the quarter and six months ended March 3, 1994 should be read in conjunction with the company's Annual Report to Shareholders and/or Form 10-K for the year ended September 2, 1993.

#### 2. Receivables

		March 3, 1994	September 2, 1993
	Trade receivables Other Allowance for returns and discounts Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$193,446 6,406 (5,194) (1,777)	\$155,010 7,145 (5,680) (1,789)
		\$192,881 ======	\$154,686 ======
3.	Inventories		
		March 3, 1994	September 2, 1993
	Finished goods Work in progress Raw materials and supplies	\$ 6,533 59,130 29,928	\$ 7,343 52,473 23,348
		\$ 95,591 ======	\$ 83,164 ======

## 4. Product and process technology, net

	March 3, 1994	September 2, 1993
Product and process technology, at cost Less accumulated amortization	\$139,881 (81,802)	\$129,221 (59,518)
	\$ 58,079	\$ 69,703
	=======	=======

## 5. Property, plant, and equipment, net

	March 3, 1994	September 2, 1993
Land	\$ 7,968	\$ 7,483
Buildings	223,479	217,655
Machinery and equipment	692,358	578,810
Construction in progress	57,212	24,667
	981,017	828,615
Less accumulated depreciation		
and amortization	(447,058)	(390,854)
	\$533,959	\$437,761
	=======	=======

## 6. Accounts payable and accrued expenses

	March 3, 1994	September 2, 1993
Accounts payable	\$ 39,174	\$ 34,740
Salaries, wages, and benefits	41,699	28,829
Product and process technology	78,405	45,932
Income taxes payable	1,568	30,581
Commissions	6,822	4,675
0ther	12,252	10,206
	\$179,920	\$154,963
	=======	=======

#### 7. Long-term debt

Long term debt	March 3, 1994	September 2, 1993
Notes payable in monthly installments through January 1999, weighted average interest rate of 7.26% and 8.24%, respectively	\$40,033	\$31,174
Capitalized lease obligations payable in monthly installments through April 1998, weighted average interest rate of 7.96% and 8.79%, respectively	13,880	28,550
Noninterest bearing obligation, due in annual installments through November 1994, original face amount of \$50.0 million (net of discount based on imputed interest rate of 10.25%)	9,310	18,775
Noninterest bearing obligation, paid January 1994, (net of discount based on imputed interest rate of 7.41%)		1,269
Less current portion	63,223 (20,635)  \$42,588	79,768 (25,407)  \$54,361
	======	======

# 8. Earnings per share

Earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding. Common equivalent shares result from the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options and affect earnings per share when they have a dilutive effect.

#### 9. Income taxes

Effective September 3, 1993, the company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Adoption of SFAS No. 109 did not have a material effect on the company's financial position or results of operations. The estimated effective income tax rate for all periods presented was 36%.

The approximate tax effect of temporary differences and carryforwards which give rise to the net deferred tax asset and liability are as follows:

	March 3, 1994
Current deferred tax asset:	
Accrued compensation Deferred income Other Net deferred tax asset	3,097 1,931 8,902  \$ 13,930 ======
Noncurrent deferred tax liability: Excess tax over book depreciation Deferred internal patent charges Accrued compensation Product and process technology	\$(50,263) (2,182) 5,794
amortization Other	2,724 (2,006)
Net deferred tax liability	\$(45,933) ======

## 10. Commitments

As of March 3, 1994, the company had commitments of approximately \$165.1 million for equipment purchases and \$60.0 million for the construction of buildings.

#### 11. Contingencies

Periodically, the company is made aware that technology used by the company in the manufacture of some or all of its products may infringe on product or process technology rights held by others. The company has accrued a liability and charged operations for the estimated costs of settlement or adjudication of asserted and unasserted claims for infringement prior to the balance sheet date. Management can give no assurance that the amounts accrued have been adequate and cannot estimate the range of additional possible loss, if any, from resolution of these uncertainties. Resolution of whether the company's manufacture of products has infringed on valid rights held by others may have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position or results of operations, and may require material changes in production processes and products.

On March 9, 1994, the company entered into a patent crosslicense agreement with Texas Instruments Incorporated ("TI"). As a result of this agreement, the various patent lawsuits between Micron Semiconductor, Inc., and TI in Idaho, Texas, and Delaware have been settled. Similar to a prior cross-license agreement between the parties which expired in 1992, the company will pay ongoing royalties to TI based on sales of DRAMs and other semiconductor devices. The company has accrued a sufficient amount to pay the royalties related to sales for periods from expiration of the previous cross-license to the date of the settlement.

On November 13, 1992, Micron Semiconductor, Inc., filed a patent infringement action with the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC") against Goldstar Electron Company, Ltd., and Goldstar Electron America, Inc. (collectively, "Goldstar"), and Hyundai Electronics Industries Co., Ltd., and Hyundai Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, "Hyundai"). The Company has since entered into patent cross-license agreements with Goldstar and Hyundai. The ITC action against Goldstar and Hyundai has been dismissed.

The company is a party to various other legal actions arising out of the normal course of business, none of which is expected to have a material effect on the company's financial position or results of operations.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

All references are to the company's fiscal periods ended March 3, 1994 and March 4, 1993, unless otherwise indicated. All tabular dollar amounts are stated in thousands.

The company reported net income of \$86.8 million, or \$2.07 per fully diluted share, on net sales of \$390.5 million for the second quarter of 1994, and net income of \$154.3 million, or \$3.70 per fully diluted share, on net sales of \$710.6 million for the first six months of 1994. For the second quarter a year ago, the company reported net income of \$9.0 million, or \$0.22 per share, on net sales of \$176.4 million, and for the first six months of 1993 reported net income of \$11.7 million, or \$0.29 per share, on net sales of \$307.4 million.

Net sales and profits in the first two quarters of fiscal 1994 were substantially higher than in comparable periods of fiscal 1993 reflecting favorable market conditions, improved productivity, and increased fab capacity. The company continues to benefit from strong market conditions for the 4 Meg DRAM which is responsible for a substantial portion of the company's net sales and net income. To date, only a limited portion of the company's production has been converted to the reduced die size 4 Meg DRAM. The company has begun to produce a limited number of 16 Meg DRAMs in a 400 mil package and is continuing to develop a reduced die size 16 Meg DRAM in a 300 mil package. The company expects that the 300 mil package will be required to meet volume market requirements. Any downturn in the market for 4 Meg DRAMs or a rapid transition to the 16 Meg DRAM as the industry's primary product would likely have a negative impact on the company's results of operations.

The semiconductor industry has historically experienced significant volatility. As has occurred in the past in reaction to improved market conditions, the company and several competitors have recently announced expansions of semiconductor manufacturing capacity.

Future operating results could be influenced by potentially adverse market conditions, expansion of total industry capacity faster than total industry demand, continuing high capital spending requirements to remain competitive in the industry, manufacturing yield fluctuations, patent litigation claims and litigation against the company, an inability of the company to keep pace with technological advances by competitors, and currency fluctuations resulting in a strengthening dollar against the yen. The market price for the company's common stock has been, and is expected to continue to be, extremely volatile. See "Certain Factors".

Second Quarter	Six	Months Ended
1994 % Change 1	1993 1994	% Change 1993

Net sales

\$390,459 121.3% \$176,410 \$710,597 131.2% \$307,378

Net sales for the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 1994 were significantly higher than for the comparable periods of 1993 principally due to favorable market conditions resulting in higher average selling prices for 4 Meg and 1 Meg DRAM products, and increased sales of higher density memory products. Selling prices on average were lower for 2 Meg VRAM and 1 Meg SRAM products. Sales of 4 Meg DRAMs represented a substantial portion of the company's net sales for the second quarter of 1994. Memory volumes were higher for the second quarter and first six months of 1994 as compared to the corresponding periods of 1993 through shrinks of existing products, transitions to higher density memory products, increased wafer output, and yield improvements.

The company's principal products for the first six months of 1994 were 4 Meg and 1 Meg DRAMs, 1 Meg SRAM, and 2 Meg and 1 Meg specialty DRAMs. SRAM net sales increased in both the second quarter and first six months of 1994, but declined as a percentage of total net sales to approximately 9% for the second quarter and first six months of 1994. SRAM net sales for the second quarter and first six months of 1993 were 15% and 17% of total net sales, respectively.

Second Quarter	Six Months Ended
1994 % Change 1993	1994 % Change 1993

Cost of goods sold \$204,105 64.9% \$123,738 \$370,693 67.3% \$221,513 Gross margin % 47.7% 29.9% 47.8% 27.9%

The company's overall gross margin percentage improved significantly, comparing both the second quarter and first six months of 1994 to the corresponding periods of 1993, due to generally higher selling prices and reductions in cost per unit of memory sold for DRAM products. Reductions in cost per unit sold were realized primarily from die shrinks, transition to higher density memory products, increased wafer output, and yield improvements. The company continues to transition to shrink versions of existing products. To date, only a limited portion of the company's production of 4 Meg DRAMs has been converted to the reduced die size version. The company has begun to produce a limited number of 16 Meg DRAMs in a 400 mil package and is continuing to develop a reduced die size 16 Meg DRAM in a 300 mil package. The company expects that the 300 mil device will become the industry's primary 16 Meg product. As is typical with product and process transitions, the company may experience volatility in manufacturing yields or other difficulties in ramping production of the 16 Meg DRAM to commercial volumes. The company's operating results are highly dependent on increasing yields at an acceptable rate and to an acceptable level, of which there can be no assurance.

Sales of personal computers accounted for approximately 6% of total net sales for the second quarter and first six months of 1994. Gross margin percentages for personal computer sales are substantially lower than for the company's other products. Should sales of personal computers increase as a percentage of total net sales, the company's overall gross margin percentage would decrease.

Cost of goods sold includes estimated costs of settlement or adjudication of asserted and unasserted claims for patent infringement prior to the balance sheet date, and costs of product and process technology licensing arrangements. The company recently settled various legal proceedings with Texas Instruments Incorporated. The company has accrued a sufficient amount to pay the royalties under the settlement agreement related to sales for periods from expiration of the previous cross-license to the date of the settlement. The charges for product and process technology have remained, and are expected to remain, relatively constant as a percentage of net sales. Future product and process technology charges may fluctuate, however, as a result of claims that may be asserted in the future. See "Certain Factors".

Second Quarter	Second Quarter Six Months Ended	
1994 % Change 1993	1994 % Change 1993	

Selling, general, and administrative \$ 33,094 40.6% \$23,545 \$ 67,185 68.6% \$ 39,845 as a % of net sales 8.5% 13.3% 9.5% 13.0%

Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased significantly in the second quarter and first six months of 1994 over the comparable periods of 1993, primarily as a result of higher personnel costs, increased commissions based on a higher level of net sales, increased costs incurred in conjunction with the company's action before the International Trade Commission and patent litigation, and a higher level of bad debt expense. These increases were partially offset by a \$3 million pre-tax benefit realized in the second quarter of fiscal 1994 from an insurance reimbursement arising from settlement of the shareholders' consolidated class action lawsuit.

Second Quarter	Second Quarter Six Months Ended	
1994 % Change 1993	1994 % Change 1993	

Research and development

\$ 18,714 35.0% \$ 13,861 \$ 33,048 29.6% \$ 25,496 as a % of net sales 4.8% 7.9% 4.7% 8.3%

Research and development expenses, which vary primarily with the number of wafers and personnel dedicated to new product and process development, increased in absolute dollars while decreasing as a percentage of net sales for the second quarter and first six months of 1994 compared to the corresponding periods of 1993. Efforts in the current quarter were focused primarily on development of the 16 Meg DRAM, and design and development of the 64 Meg DRAM, and of the 4 Meg and 16 Meg SRAMs. Development of VRAMs beyond the company's current 2 Meg generation has been terminated as the company pursues more cost-effective alternatives for graphics applications. The company expects that the absolute level of research and development expenses for fiscal 1994 will be higher than fiscal 1993 as additional resources are dedicated to development of the 16 Meg DRAM and design and development of the 64 Meg DRAMs as well as design and development of radio frequency identification products, non-volatile semiconductor memory devices, and new technologies relating to field emission flat panel displays.

The company has begun to produce a limited number of 16 Meg DRAMs in a 400 mil package and is continuing to develop a reduced die size 16 Meg DRAM in a 300 mil package. The company expects that the 300 mil device will become the industry's primary 16 Meg product. Future results of operations may be adversely impacted if the company is unable to transition to these products in commercial volume in a timely fashion.

Second Quarter Six Months Ended		ided	
1994 % Change	1993 1994	% Change	1993

Income tax provision

\$ 48,800 860% \$ 5,082 \$ 86,799 1,215% \$ 6,599

Effective September 3, 1993, the company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Adoption of SFAS No. 109 did not have a material effect on the company's financial position or results of operations. The effective tax rate for the second quarter and first six months of 1994 and 1993 was 36%.

The company had cash and liquid investments of \$223.2 million at March 3, 1994, representing an increase of \$37.4 million during the first six months of 1994. The company's principal sources of liquidity during the first six months of 1994 were cash flows from operations of \$229.3 million, equipment financing of \$57.0 million, long-term debt of \$20.3 million, and proceeds of \$6.8 million from the issuance of common stock in connection with the company's employee stock purchase and stock option plans. The principal uses of funds in the first six months of 1994 were \$106.7 million for property, plant, and equipment expenditures, \$62.5 million for payments on equipment contracts, \$37.5 million for payments on long-term debt, and \$10.0 million for acquisition of product and process technology.

On March 9, 1994, the company entered into a patent crosslicense agreement with Texas Instruments Incorporated ("TI"). As a result of this agreement, the various lawsuits between the Micron Semiconductor, Inc., and TI in Idaho, Texas, and Delaware have been settled. Similar to a prior cross-license agreement between the parties which expired in 1992, the company will pay ongoing royalties to TI based on sales of DRAM and other semiconductor devices. The company has accrued a sufficient amount to pay the royalties related to sales for periods from expiration of the previous cross-license agreement to the date of the settlement. Royalties accrued from expiration of the prior cross-license to January 1, 1994, the effective date of the current agreement, will be paid in the third quarter of fiscal 1994.

As of March 3, 1994, the company had commitments of approximately \$165.1 million for equipment purchases and approximately \$60.0 million for the construction of buildings. Anticipated capital expenditures include remodels and upgrades of existing fabrication facilities and equipment, and the construction of a central ion implant facility and an additional central utilities plant. Completion of these and future projects as currently anticipated will require substantial cash resources including significant payments out of the company's cash flow from operations for the current and upcoming fiscal year.

In the second quarter of fiscal 1994, the company revised its bank credit agreement to increase the number of banks from two to four, increase the total borrowings available, and to change the collateral pledged. The revised agreement provides for borrowings up to \$120 million under a revolving loan expiring January 1997. All equipment, accounts receivable, and inventories of Micron Technology, Inc., and Micron Semiconductor, Inc., not otherwise pledged as collateral for other notes payable and capital leases are pledged as collateral under the agreement. The agreement restricts, except with prior approval, declaration and payment of cash dividends or repurchase of common stock to an amount not in excess of the greater of \$3.0 million or 25% of net income earned after September 2, 1993, and contains working capital requirements and other covenants. As of March 3, 1994, the company had no borrowings outstanding under the agreement.

The company believes continuing investments in manufacturing technology, capital equipment, research and development, and product and process technology are necessary to support future growth, achieve operating efficiencies, and maintain product quality. Although external sources of cash have been required historically to supplement the company's cash flows from operations to fund these ongoing investments, the company currently expects that it will be able to fund its near-term liquidity needs through cash flows from operations, existing cash and liquid investment balances, and equipment financings. Depending on overall market conditions, the company may borrow amounts available under the bank credit agreement or pursue other external sources of liquidity.

#### Certain Factors

The semiconductor memory industry is characterized by rapid technological change, frequent product introductions and enhancements, difficult product transitions, relatively short product life cycles, and volatile market conditions as evidenced by significantly fluctuating product pricing. These circumstances historically have made the semiconductor industry highly cyclical, particularly in the market for DRAMs and SRAMs, which are the company's primary products. The company has recently benefited from supply and demand relationships resulting in favorable pricing and increased volume for the company's products. However, the company expects product pricing to return to the long-term historical declining trend line at some point in the future. The company experiences intense competition from a number of substantially larger foreign and domestic companies, which are reportedly adding significant semiconductor manufacturing capacity. Several of these new facilities use 8-inch wafers which contain greater than 70% more surface area than the company's exclusively used 6-inch wafer facility. Use of 8-inch wafers could result in a comparative cost advantage. A substantial increase in overall industry production capacity, adverse market conditions, and currency fluctuations resulting in a strengthening dollar against the yen, could result in downward pricing pressure. A decline in the current favorable product pricing could have a material adverse effect on the company's results of operations. Historically, severe downward movements in product pricing have resulted in decreases in the market value for the company's stock.

The manufacture of the company's products is a complex process and involves a number of precise steps, including wafer fabrication, assembly in a variety of packages, burn-in, and final test. From time to time, the company has experienced volatility in its manufacturing yields, as it is not unusual to encounter difficulties in ramping shrink versions of existing devices or new generation devices to commercial volumes. The company's net sales and operating results are highly dependent on increasing yields at an acceptable rate and to an acceptable level, of which there can be no assurance. Future results of operations may be adversely impacted if the company is unable to transition to future generation products in a timely fashion.

Periodically, the company is made aware that technology used by the company in the manufacture of some or all of its products may infringe on product or process technology rights held by others. The company has accrued a liability and charged operations for the estimated costs of settlement or adjudication of asserted and unasserted claims for infringement prior to the balance sheet date. Management can give no assurance that the amounts accrued have been adequate and cannot estimate the range of additional possible loss, if any, from resolution of these uncertainties. Resolution of whether the company's manufacture of products has infringed on valid rights held by others may have a material adverse effect on the company's financial position or results of operations, and may require material changes in production processes and products.

#### Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Micron Technology, Inc.'s patent cross-license agreement with Texas Instruments, Inc. ("TI"), expired on September 3, 1992. Attempts to negotiate a new cross-license agreement on terms acceptable to the company were unsuccessful. On September 2, 1992, Micron Semiconductor, Inc. ("MSI"), filed suit against TI in the United States District Court for the District of Idaho to have thirty-nine TI patents declared invalid or not infringed. This suit was amended on September 4, 1992, to include a claim against TI for infringement of one of MSI's patents. TI counterclaimed for infringement of the thirty-nine TI patents for the period since the expiration of the cross-license. On September 25, 1992, TI instituted a suit against Micron Technology, Inc., MSI, Hyundai Electronics Industries, Co., Ltd., Hyundai Electronics America, Inc., and Nova Marketing Incorporated in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas alleging infringement of two of TI's patents. That suit was stayed pending the outcome of the Idaho case. On October 1, 1992, TI filed an amended complaint (amending an earlier unserved complaint filed on September 3, 1992) against MSI in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas to have certain MSI patents declared invalid or not infringed. On June 16, 1993, the Court in that action transferred TI's suit to the United States District Court in Idaho. On May 5, 1993, TI filed an additional suit against MSI in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas alleging infringement of three TI patents not previously cited in TI's earlier suits. On September 20, 1993, MSI filed a counterclaim alleging infringement by TI of one of MSI's patents. On December 20, 1993, MSI filed a motion to amend its counterclaim alleging infringement by TI of three additional MSI patents. Simultaneously, on December 20, 1993, MSI filed a complaint against TI in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware alleging infringement by TI of the same three patents. MSI's infringement suit against TI and TI's infringement suit against MSI sought injunctive relief, compensatory damages, including treble damages, and attorneys' fees and costs. On March 9, 1994, the company entered into a patent cross-license agreement with TI. result of this agreement, the various patent lawsuits between MSI and TI in Idaho, Texas, and Delaware have been settled and will be dismissed with prejudice as to all claims of both parties, with each party to pay its own fees and costs.

On November 13, 1992, MSI filed a patent infringement action with the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC") against Hyundai Electronics Industries Co., Ltd., Hyundai Electronics America, Inc., Goldstar Electron Co., Ltd., and Goldstar Electron America, Inc. On June 2, 1993, Micron Technology, Inc., and Goldstar Electron Co., Ltd., entered into a cross-licensing agreement. As a result of this agreement, the ITC action against Goldstar Electron Co., Ltd., and Goldstar Electron America, Inc., was terminated on August 3, 1993. Trial for the ITC action against Hyundai Electronics Industries Co., Ltd., and Hyundai Electronics America, Inc., (together, "Hyundai") was held before the ITC administrative law judge. On February 3, 1994, the company entered into a cross-license agreement with Hyundai. As a result of this agreement, the ITC action against Hyundai was terminated on March 9, 1994.

On November 20, 1992, Thorn EMI North America, Inc., ("Thorn") filed suit against Micron Technology, Inc., and MSI in the United States District Court in the District of Delaware alleging infringement of four of Thorn's patents and seeking injunctive relief, compensatory damages, including treble damages, attorneys' fees, and costs. On December 4, 1993, the parties entered into a settlement agreement dismissing the lawsuit.

A consolidated class action complaint was filed on January 18, 1990, in the United States District Court for the District of Idaho in substitution for five similar suits previously filed against the company and certain of its past and present officers and directors. The suit alleged federal securities law violations in connection with certain statements allegedly made by the company during the period from approximately December 1988 through September 1989 and claims of insider trading violations by certain past and present officers and directors, as well as pendant state law claims. On September 10, 1993, the court approved a settlement agreement between the parties providing for dismissal of the action with prejudice. Under the terms of the agreement, the company paid \$6.0 million into a settlement fund on behalf of the plaintiff class. An intervening shareholder appealed the court's order approving the settlement alleging that the settlement was inadequate and that the attorney's fees charged to the class were excessive. The notice of appeal did not specify the basis for appeal, but the shareholder has now moved to dismiss the appeal voluntarily requesting that the court rule only on the issue of the objecting party's attorney fees. By the voluntary dismissal, the settlement is now final as to the company. The company filed a lawsuit on February 26, 1993, in the United States District Court for the District of Idaho against American Casualty Co. of Reading, Pennsylvania, the company's directors and officers liability insurance carrier ("American Casualty"), for the amount of the shareholders' suit settlement, plus attorney's fees and costs incurred in defending the class action. On March 1, 1994, the company and American Casualty entered into a settlement agreement. As a result of this agreement, American Casualty paid the company \$6.0 million and the suit was dismissed with prejudice, with each party paying its own fees and costs.

The company is also a party in various other legal actions arising out of the normal course of business, none of which is expected to have a material effect on the company's financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

The Registrant's 1993 Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held on January 31, 1994 at the Registrant's corporate office. At the meeting, the following items were submitted to a vote of the shareholders. At the meeting, 40,264,436 shares were entitled to vote.

(a) The following nominees for Directors were elected. Each person elected as a Director will serve until the next annual meeting of shareholders or until such person's successor is elected and qualified:

Name of Nominee	Votes	Votes	Broker
	Cast For	Withheld	Non-votes
James W. Garrett Reid N. Langrill Thomas T. Nicholson Allen T. Noble Joseph L. Parkinson Don J. Simplot John R. Simplot Gordon C. Smith	37,351,616	5,810	318, 124
	37,351,876	5,550	318, 324
	37,351,885	5,301	318, 364
	37,351,785	5,401	318, 364
	37,351,588	5,598	318, 364
	37,350,999	6,187	318, 564
	37,351,050	6,036	318, 464
	37,243,753	5,313	318, 484

- (b) An amendment to the company's Certificate of Incorporation increasing the number of authorized shares of common stock from 100,000,000 to 150,000,000 was approved with 34,422,063 votes in favor, 3,134,141 votes against, and 35,746 votes representing abstentions and broker non-votes.
- (c) An amendment to the company's 1985 Incentive Stock Option Plan to increase the number of shares reserved thereunder by 1,000,000 shares was approved with 34,603,227 votes in favor, 2,878,038 votes against, and 108,740 votes representing abstentions and broker non-votes.
- (d) The ratification of the appointment of Coopers & Lybrand as independent public accountants of the company for the fiscal year ending September 1, 1994 was approved with 37,522,533 votes in favor, 40,486 votes against, and 107,231 votes representing abstentions and broker non-votes.

## Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

#### a) INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
11	Computation of per share earnings for the quarters and six month periods ended March 3, 1994, and March 4, 1993

b) The registrant filed a Report on Form 8-K dated February 28, 1994, announcing the company's board of directors approval of a 5 for 2 stock split effected in the form of a stock dividend effective April 1, 1994.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

> Micron Technology, Inc. -----(Registrant)

Dated: March 21, 1994 Reid N. Langrill

Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

#### Exhibit 11

Computation of Per Share Amounts (Amounts in thousands except for per share amounts)

	Quarter E March 3, 1994	Ended March 4, 1993
PRIMARY		
Weighted average shares outstanding	40,369	39,423
Stock options using average market price  Total shares	1,149  41,518 ======	929  40,352 =====
Net income	\$86,758 =====	\$9,035 =====
Per share amount	\$2.09 =====	\$0.22 =====
FULLY DILUTED		
Weighted average shares outstanding	40,369	39,423
Stock options using greater of average or ending market price	1,458	1,088
Total shares	41,827 ======	40,511 =====
Net income	\$86,758 =====	\$9,035 =====
Per share amount	\$2.07 =====	\$0.22 =====

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.

#### Exhibit 11

Computation of Per Share Amounts (Amounts in thousands except for per share amounts)

	Six Months Ended	
	1994	March 4, 1993
RIMARY		
Weighted average shares outstanding	40,284	39,111
•	,	,
Stock options using average market price	1,087	833
Total shares	41,371 ======	39,944
Net income	\$154,311 ======	\$11,732 ======
Per share amount	\$3.73 ======	\$0.29 =====
ULLY DILUTED		
Weighted average shares outstanding	40,284	39,111
Stock options using greater of average or ending market price	1,431	1,073
Total shares	41,715 ======	40,184 ======
Net income	\$154,311 ======	
Per share amount	\$3.70 ======	\$0.29 =====